

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0112588 DATE: <u>2/27 &3/3/09</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:30am</u> DEPART: <u>10:15am</u>		
FACILITY NAME: NAUTICAL FURNISHINGS, INC.		
FACILITY LOCATION: 3111 WEST MCNAB ROAD		
POMPANO BEACH 33069-4806		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JOHN CONNELLY PHONE: (954)771-1100		
CONTACT NAME: Joakim Hjornhede-woodshop supervisor PHONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/27/2006 / 11/27/2011 (effective date) (end date)		
(enterno dino) (ent dino)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or		
have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) Yes No Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings		
and the quantity of the coatings used? \ \ Yes \ \ No		
3. Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years? Yes No		
4. Is the total quantity of VOC's in such coatings 44 lbs/day or less, averaged monthly? Yes No		
5. Does the amount of coatings used, include solvents and thinners used in the process including those used for cleanups? Yes No		
DADE III. CONTROL ODERATING MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS. D.L. (2.210.200 E.A.C.		
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT)		
emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) Yes No		
2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ☒No		

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	cing VOC emissions by: e application with a minimum of overspray? et application with a minimum of overspray? et application with a minimum of overspray? Example Yes No with a minimum of overspray. Example Yes No with a minimum of overspray.
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?————————————————————————————————————	
Elizabeth F. Susky	02/27 and 03/3/09
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	03/03/2010
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: AQD staff was present at facility on 02/27/09, however the site manager requested that AQD staff return on 03/03/09. On 03/03/09, AQD staff observed operations at Nautical Furnishings Inc. The facility is a wood shop with one dust collector, one spray booth, assembly area and offices. Mr. Joakim Hjornhede accompanied staff on the inspection. Housekeeping was good and the booth and filter bank are well-maintained. Mr. Hjornhede will be sending the finalized VOC logs. He stated that usage had gone down. The facility also uses a small amount of contact cement for orders that include mica work. The usage is low and this will also be documented in the record keeping.